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ABSTRACT: SrTiO$_3$ (STO) crystalline layers grown on Si open unique perspectives for the monolithic integration of functional oxides in silicon based devices, but their fabrication by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) is challenging due to unwanted interface reactions. Here we show that the formation of single-crystal STO layers on Si by MBE at the moderate growth temperature imposed by these interface reactions results from the crystallization of a partially-separated amorphous mixture of SrO and TiO$_2$ activated by an excess of Sr. We identify the atomic pathway of this mechanism and show that it leads to an antiphase domain morphology. Based on these results, we suggest and test alternative STO growth strategies to avoid antiphase boundary formation and improve significantly the STO structural quality. The understanding provided by these results offers promising prospects to crystallize perovskite oxides on semiconductors at moderate temperature and circumvent the issue of parasitic interface reactions.

Introduction

Functional oxides of the perovskite family present a variety of physical properties (ferroelectricity, ferromagnetism, superconductivity, high Pockels coefficients, …) that make them very attractive for applications in the micro-optoelectronic field.$^1$ These materials are classically used as thin layers grown on oxide substrates, mainly SrTiO$_3$ (STO). Important perspectives for the integration of new functionalities on silicon and other semiconductor platforms, and therefore for the fabrication of innovative devices combining the physical properties of functional oxides and semiconductors, are offered by the advances in epitaxy of ABO$_3$ perovskites on semiconductors using molecular beam epitaxy (MBE). From the material science point of view, STO/Si can be considered as a prototypical system to understand the impact of structural and chemical heterogeneity on crystal growth.

Controlling the chemical reactions between the growing layer and the substrate is one of the main challenges related to the epitaxial growth of perovskite oxides on semiconductor and particularly STO on Si, as the interface between these materials has been predicted as thermodynamically instable.$^2$ This is to a certain extent made possible by using a Sr-based treatment of the Si surface, as evidenced since the very first studies of this system$^{3,4}$, and popularized by McKee et al.$^5$ Such procedure or its derivatives$^6,7$ are widely used to grow STO/Si. However, even on a Sr-treated Si surface, interface reactions significantly restrain the actual window in which STO can be grown.$^{6,8,9,10,11}$ Deposition must be initiated at low temperature (between room temperature and 400°C) and under low O$_2$ partial pressure (below 10$^{-7}$ Torr, typically in the few 10$^{-6}$ Torr range). Under these conditions, an amorphous or partly amorphous STO layer is formed, and an annealing under ultra-high vacuum (UHV)$^{12}$ or low O$_2$ partial pressure$^8$ is required to obtain crystalline STO. Further STO growth can then be conducted at higher temperature (400-550°C) under higher O$_2$ partial pressure (ranging from few 10$^{-8}$ to 10$^{-6}$ Torr). This process leads to satisfying STO structural properties$^{13,14,15,16,17}$, but the quality of STO/Si layers is still far lower than that observed for STO layers grown on STO substrates, for which atomic layer-by-layer or step-flow growth can be achieved thanks to higher temperature process, or for more mature materials such as III-V or SiGe semiconductors. Obviously, the structural quality of STO layers grown on Si is limited by the required recrystallization step (designated as topotactic reaction$^{18}$ or as solid phase epitaxy$^{10}$ by some authors) which has only barely been studied.

In this context, we show that the quasi-amorphous material deposited at low temperature at the initial stages of the growth of STO on Si is predominantly composed of a
partially separated mixture of TiO$_2$ and SrO. STO crystallites nucleate at the interface with Si (from which they inherit their crystallographic orientation) and extend at the expense of the amorphous matrix by lateral propagation of a Sr-rich crystallization front. This excess of Sr allows TiO$_2$ incorporation in the STO lattice at the moderated temperature used to avoid parasitic interface reactions by a mechanism comparable to the SrO/TiO$_2$ layer swap mechanism described in Ref. 19. An antiphase domain structure, where phase domains are separated by vertical SrO stacking faults, results from this crystallization process. Based on this understanding, we show how convenient engineering of the early stages of STO growth on Si enhances STO crystallization. This process, that can be adapted for the growth of other perovskite oxides on other semiconductors, offers promising prospects to enhance the structural properties of oxide thin layers grown on semiconductors.

**Experimental section**

A two-steps method, extensively described in the supporting information, was used for STO growth by MBE: deposition of a partially amorphous 10 ML thick STO layer at low temperature (360°C) to prevent interface reactions, annealing under UHV at 420°C leading to STO crystallization followed by further STO growth at this temperature until 11 nm was reached. Sr and Ti fluxes were carefully calibrated using reflection high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), quartz crystal microbalance (QCM) and Rutherford backscattering (RBS). The calibration procedure and determination of the experimental uncertainty on cationic composition are detailed in the supporting information. Eight samples were grown with different cationic compositions, namely samples A, B, C, D, E, F, H and G with Sr/Ti ratios of 0.677, 0.902, 1, 1.004, 1.015, 1.021, 1.063 and 1.127, respectively (see Tab.SF1 in the supporting information). After growth, all the samples (except sample H, grown in a second phase for transmission electron microscopy analyses) were studied by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy, at SOLEIL synchrotron (TEMPO beamline) using an incident beam energy of 1400 eV, or using an in-house XPS set-up equipped with a standard Al-K$_\alpha$ monochromatic source at 1486.7 eV. The samples were also analyzed by X-Ray diffraction (XRD), using a Rigaku Smartlab diffractometer equipped with a high brilliance rotating anode and an in-plane arm allowing direct measurement of the in-plane lattice parameter. Samples C, D, E and H were analyzed using high-angle annular dark field scanning transmission electron microscopy (HAADF-STEM). In the HAADF imaging mode the intensity of an atomic column roughly scales with the square of the atomic number (Z). In this situation, the brightest spots in a Z-contrast image represent the heaviest atomic columns, Sr, followed by Ti and finally by Si, which gives the weakest contrast. All the STEM images shown in this work have been acquired with a NION UltraSTEM, equipped with a 5$^{th}$ order NION aberration corrector and operated at 100 kV. All cross sectional spec-

![Figure 1: STEM images of samples C, D, E and H.](image)

The defects in samples E and H are SrO stacking faults, as shown in the supporting information.

These images show that for Sr/Ti = 1 (sample C), STO presents a very disturbed morphology with the presence of polycrystalline and amorphous zones. Such amorphous zones are still detected in sample D (Sr/Ti = 1.004), and single crystal STO is obtained only for Sr/Ti ratios larger than 1.015 (sample E and H). Extended defects are clearly detected in these samples. These defects are SrO stacking faults (extra SrO planes in the STO lattice) lying either perpendicular to the substrate surface [(001) stacking faults] or parallel to the substrate surface [(001) stacking faults], as shown in the supporting information (Fig. SF7 and SF8). It is noteworthy that these defects are mostly
vertical ((010) stacking faults) at Sr/Ti = 1.015 (sample E), as discussed in further details in the next section.

The chemistry of the samples and its dependency on Sr/Ti ratio was examined based on XPS measurements carried out at the TEMPO beamline of the SOLEIL synchrotron and using an in-house spectrometer. The Sr3d and Ti2p$_{3/2}$ core levels recorded on the samples were decomposed into components, as shown in Fig. 2a for sample A (for the other samples, see the supporting information, Fig. SF2). The Ti2p$_{1/2}$ core level has also been measured and analyzed for all samples. It shows, as expected, same behavior as the Ti2p$_{3/2}$ core level and is thus not displayed here, for the sake of clarity. Evolution of the O1s core level is given in the supporting information (Fig. SF2).

![Figure 2](image-url)

**Figure 2:** Composition of the samples as a function of the Sr/Ti ratio. a Ti2p$_{3/2}$ and Sr3d core levels of sample A (Sr/Ti = 0.677) and associated deconvolutions. b Atomic composition of samples (in atom number per Ti atom) as extracted from XPS. The mixture composition is the closest to that of perfect STO for Sr/Ti > 1, whereas at Sr/Ti = 1 it contains a significant amount of Ti-TiO$_2$ and Sr-SF atoms. c Chemical order of the samples depending on the Sr/Ti ratio, quantified by counting the Sr-Sr, Ti-Ti and Sr-Ti pairs in the samples based on XPS measurements. In this ternary diagram, each apex corresponds to a pure compound (SrO: Sr-Sr pairs only, TiO$_2$: Ti-Ti pairs only and STO: Sr-Ti pairs only). The point corresponding to a given mixture containing x Sr-Sr pairs, y Ti-Ti pairs and z Sr-Ti pairs is the barycenter of the three apexes weighted by x, y and z. A point representing a mixture free of one of the three pair types lies on the side opposite to the apex corresponding to this pair type. Color code for data points indicate sample compositions (see b).
The pink components in Sr3d and Ti2p core levels, labelled Sr-STO and Ti-STO in the following, correspond to Sr and Ti atoms in a STO environment. In particular, the binding-energy distance between Sr-STO and Ti-STO (325.35 eV) corresponds to that reported for STO.\textsuperscript{20} The grey component in the Ti2p\textsubscript{3/2} core level is shifted by 0.36 eV toward low binding energies with respect to the Ti-STO component. This value is close to that reported in Ref. 20 for the chemical shift between Ti in a STO environment and Ti in a TiO\textsubscript{2} environment (0.40 ± 0.04 eV). This shift is due to the charge transfer between Sr atoms and the O atoms to which the Ti atoms of the STO lattice are bonded, making these O atoms “less oxidant” in STO than they are in TiO\textsubscript{2}. The grey component is thus attributed to Ti atoms in a TiO\textsubscript{2} environment, and labelled Ti-TiO\textsubscript{2} in the following. Similarly, the chemical shift between the blue and pink components in the Sr3d core levels of samples A, B and E is 1.24 eV, in the same range as that reported for the chemical shift between Sr in a SrO environment and Sr in a STO environment (in the 1.12 eV range\textsuperscript{21,22,23}). This component, labelled Sr-SrO in the following, is thus attributed to Sr atoms in a SrO environment. The grey component in the Sr3d core level, shifted by 0.6 eV with respect to the Sr-STO component, corresponds to Sr atoms in SrO stacking fault environment, as shown in the supporting information (Fig. SF4 and associated discussion). This component is labelled Sr-SF in the following. It has to be noted here that XPS is a method purely sensitive to sample chemistry and not to crystalline order. As a consequence, detecting Sr-STO and Sr-SF signatures in the samples does not imply the presence neither of crystalline STO, nor of SrO stacking faults in the crystalline meaning of the term (additional SrO planes in an organized STO lattice). It simply implies that atoms have locally the same chemical environment as that they have in a STO crystal or around a SrO stacking fault in a STO crystal. Crystallinity is assessed in this study by STEM images (Fig. 1), RHEED patterns (Fig. 3) and XRD experiments (next section).

The thin films are thus composed of a mixture of SrO, TiO\textsubscript{2}, STO, and of Sr atoms in a SrO stacking fault environment, the proportions of which depend on the Sr/Ti ratio. The evolution of the sample composition as a function of the Sr/Ti ratio is plotted in Fig. 2b. Surprisingly, at Sr/Ti = 1, the mixture contains a significant proportion of atoms in non-STO environments (15% of Sr atoms in Sr-SF environment, 12% of Ti atoms in Ti-TiO\textsubscript{2} environment) and a slight excess of Sr is required to approach the organization expected for perfect STO (“optimal” point at Sr/Ti = 1.004 for Sr atoms, and Sr/Ti = 1.015 for Ti atoms). Below the optimal Sr/Ti ratio, a large proportion of Ti atoms have a TiO\textsubscript{2} chemical environment, as a priori expected in the Sr/Ti < 1 region. Less intuitive is the observation of a significant proportion of Ti atoms in TiO\textsubscript{2} environment in the Sr/Ti > 1 region (Sr rich region), up to Sr/Ti = 1.015, and of a very large amount of Sr atoms in Sr-SrO and Sr-SF environments in the Ti rich region. This shows first that despite Sr deficiency, the chemical reaction between SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2} to form STO is not complete in the Ti-rich region, and second that an excess of Sr corresponding to a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015 is required to make this reaction complete. Partial phase separation (STO → SrO stacking faults + TiO\textsubscript{2}) is observed in the Ti-rich region and in the Sr-rich region, up to Sr/Ti = 1.015. Above this composition, all Ti atoms are in STO environment, while a significant proportion of Sr atoms are in Sr-SF environment, showing that the excess of Sr is absorbed in the lattice by forming SrO stacking faults.

The chemical organization of the mixture can be more comprehensively assessed by deriving the proportions of Sr-Sr, Ti-Ti and Sr-Ti pairs from XPS results, as the areas of the XPS components are proportional to the number of atoms in a given chemical environment (see supporting information, Fig. SF5). These proportions are plotted for samples A-G in the ternary diagram of Fig. 2c. In such a diagram, the point representing a mixture free of one of the three elements lies on the side opposite to the apex corresponding to this element, so that the Ti-Ti/Sr-Ti segment corresponds to complete reaction in the Sr < Ti region (neither SrO nor SrO stacking faults in the mixture), the Sr-Sr/Sr-Ti segment corresponds to complete reaction in the Sr > Ti region (no TiO\textsubscript{2} in the mixture), and the Ti-Ti/Sr-Sr segment corresponds to complete phase separation (no STO in the mixture). Fig. 2c confirms that the reaction between SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2} to form STO is incomplete in the Ti-rich region (no data points on the Ti-Ti/Sr-Ti segment), remains incomplete in the Sr rich region up to Sr/Ti = 1.015 and is complete for Sr/Ti ≥ 1.015. To summarize at this point, an excess of Sr is required to obtain complete reaction between SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2} to form STO; Sr acts as a catalyst for STO formation. The optimal Sr excess corresponds in our growth conditions to a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015. A consequence of this is that pure STO cannot be obtained in standard MBE growth conditions: the excess of Sr required for optimal alloy ordering is absorbed in the lattice under the form of SrO stacking faults.

Crystallinity and completeness of the chemical reaction between SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2} to form STO are correlated, as shown in Fig. 3. On this graph, the chemistry of the mixture is described using a normalized short-order parameter (P), defined as

\[ P = \frac{n(Sr-Ti)-(n(Sr-Sr)+n(Ti-Ti))}{n(Sr-Ti)+n(Sr-Sr)+n(Ti-Ti)} \]  

where n(Sr-Ti), n(Sr-Sr) and n(Ti-Ti) designate the concentrations of Sr-Ti, Sr-Sr and Ti-Ti bonds in the samples, and are extracted from XPS results. P = 1 in a perfect STO crystal, and -1 in a fully separated mixture of SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2}. P is compared to the evolution of the amplitude of the STO (002) XRD peak, extracted from XRD radial scans (Fig. SF6) and normalized to that of the Si (004) peak.
patterns indicate that the thin layers become polycrystalline then amorphous with decreasing Sr/Ti ratio. In particular, the RHEED pattern of sample A (Sr/Ti = 0.677) indicates that the sample is mostly amorphous. This evolution is correlated with the phase separation detected by XPS in this region, suggesting that the amorphous fraction of the thin layers corresponds to zones where the alloy is separated.

The results presented so far show that the formation of the STO crystal on Si in MBE conditions arises from the crystallization and chemical ordering of a partially separated mixture of TiO$_2$, SrO and Sr atoms in a SrO stacking fault environment, and that this process requires a slight excess of Sr corresponding to a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015. STO crystallization can thus be viewed as a Sr-assisted chemical reaction between SrO and TiO$_2$, initially present under an amorphous form. STO crystallization and chemical ordering occur during the UHV annealing step, as shown in the next section where the STO crystallization process is also described in further details.

**Crystallization mechanism: the knitting machine**

It is first insightful to analyze in further details the correlation between SrO stacking fault repartition and Sr/Ti ratio. The STEM images of Fig. 1 and those provided in the supporting information (Fig. SF7 and SF8) allow for identifying two types of SrO stacking faults (“horizontal” (001) and “vertical” (010) stacking faults), but the local nature of STEM analysis do not allow for quantitatively assessing their relative abundance in the samples. To do this, we have applied the methodology proposed by Ohnishi et al. 24 (extensively described in the supporting information, Fig. SF9 and SF10) which roughly consists in deriving the concentration of SrO stacking faults in a STO lattice from the lattice parameter of the crystal, as such defects are known to cause an increase of the lattice constant. 25 In-and out-of-plane XRD measurements where used to measure STO in- and out-of-plane lattice parameters as a function of the Sr/Ti ratio (raw data: Fig. SF6), and the average distance between two successive vertical stacking faults and two successive horizontal stacking faults was extracted from these measurements. The results are displayed in Fig. 4a.
Figure 4: Stacking fault distribution as a function of the Sr/Ti ratio. a Red (resp. grey) curve: average distance between two successive horizontal (001) (resp. vertical (010)) stacking faults derived from STO in- and out-of-plane lattice parameters. The pink dashed line indicates the stoichiometric composition, and the grey dashed line indicates Sr/Ti = 1.015. At this composition, the average distance between two successive (010) stacking faults is 22.7 nm. b Low magnification Z-contrast image of sample E (Sr/Ti = 1.015) and associated contour image with enhanced contrast in the faulted regions. c Contrast profile of the Z-contrast image. Pink dashed lines indicate vertical SrO stacking faults separating antiphase domains. The average antiphase domain size extracted from the STEM image is 24.7 nm.

It is worth noting that this analysis is representative for the crystalline and epitaxial part of the material only, and has thus limited relevance in the low Sr/Ti ratio region where the thin layers are mostly amorphous. Despite this, Fig. 4a shows a clear dependency of the stacking fault repartition on the Sr/Ti ratio. In particular, this repartition dramatically changes around the “optimal” point corresponding to a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015, where optimal STO crystallinity and chemical order has been detected. At this point, the average distance between (001) stacking faults is maximal and exceeds by far the layer thickness, which basically means that there is no such stacking faults. Oppositely, the average distance between (010) stacking faults is minimal and rates 22.7 nm, showing that for this specific Sr/Ti ratio, Sr excess is quasi-exclusively incorporated by forming vertical (010) stacking faults in accordance with the results discussed previously. Fig. 4b displays a low magnification Z-contrast image of the sample grown using a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015, and the associated contour image with enhanced contrast in the SrO stacking fault regions. This image confirms that all the stacking faults in the sample are vertical (010) stacking faults. A "columnar-like" microstructure similar to that reported in Ref. 8, 26 or 27 is observed, consisting in antiphase domains, shifted from each other by one d(110) interatomic distance along the [101] direction and separated by (010) SrO stacking faults (antiphase boundaries). The average antiphase domain size, extracted from the contrast profile displayed in Fig. 4b, is 24.7 nm (63.25 STO unit cells). Therefore, the relative excess of Sr corresponding to this stacking fault density is (1+63.25)/63.25 (one extra SrO plane every 63.25 STO unit cells), and rates 1.016. It equals, within experimental uncertainty, the Sr/Ti ratio used for growing the sample (1.015). It also nearly equals the average distance between two successive (010) stacking faults derived from XRD measurements (22.7 nm). This confirms that in conditions where STO crystallinity and chemical ordering are optimal, the excess of Sr is completely absorbed by the formation of (010) SrO stacking faults separating defect free antiphase domains. This antiphase domain structure is similar to that reported in Ref. 27. However, in this reference, the authors describe antiphase boundaries made of additional TiO planes, resulting either from Si substrate atomic steps or from merging of crystalline domain from different nucleation sites. The results presented here show that excess Sr can also organize itself into an antiphase boundary microstructure made of additional SrO planes. We show in the following that this antiphase domain structure results from STO crystallization during UHV annealing. Fig. 5 shows a set of Z-contrast images from three different samples, all grown using a Sr/Ti ratio of 1.015, but having the growth stopped at different stages of the UHV annealing step.
These images confirm that STO crystallization occurs during the UHV annealing step. Before annealing, the layer consists in an amorphous matrix containing nanometric (< 5 nm) crystallites. These crystallites are located at the STO/Si interface, and are in epitaxial relationship with the substrate as shown by the Fourier transform of the Z-contrast image shown in Fig. 5a: STO crystallization is initiated at the Si surface which provides a crystallographically ordered template. At this step, the thin layer is mostly composed of separated TiO$_2$, SrO, and Sr-SF phases, as shown by XPS (Fig. SF11). After 15 min annealing, STO crystal has extended over a significant fraction of the overall volume. Interestingly, crystalline STO domains do not contain any SrO stacking fault, suggesting that the excess of Sr needed for STO crystallization do not incorporate in the crystalline matrix, but remains at the crystallization front. In this regard, it is striking that the growing crystallites in the STEM images are entirely terminated by SrO planes, and that no TiO$_2$ termination of these crystallites is detected on the entire set of STEM images recorded for this sample. After 30 min annealing, crystallization is completed (crystalline zones have coalesced) and the layer contains a significant concentration of (010) SrO stacking faults. The sample is mostly composed of Sr and Ti atoms in STO environment, and of a
small fraction of Sr atoms in Sr-SF environment, as shown by XPS.

This analysis first confirms that STO formation results from the crystallization and chemical ordering of a partially separated mixture, and that this reaction occurs during the UHV annealing step. It also shows that STO crystallization occurs by propagation of a Sr-rich growth front: the required Sr excess is exactly that needed to form a SrO termination on all the free surfaces of the growing crystallites. This SrO termination propagates laterally at the crystallization front during crystallization, as sketched in Fig. 5. When two neighboring crystallites coalesce, their SrO terminated free surfaces encounter so that there is exactly one extra SrO plane at each domain boundary, incorporated in the lattice under the form of (010) SrO stacking faults.

This Sr-assisted crystallization mechanism is clearly related to the growth conditions (low temperature) imposed by interface reactions between STO and Si, since layer-by-layer homoepitaxial growth of crystalline STO can be achieved by MBE on STO substrate at higher temperature. In this regard, it is interesting to notice that in the “standard” Motorola process\textsuperscript{28}, STO growth is initiated at even lower temperature that in the present study (below 200°C, to be compared to 360°C for the sample described here). Despite this difference, it is very likely that the growth mechanism described here is also applicable when Motorola process is used. Indeed, the Motorola process also involves an annealing step under UHV, during which thermal energy is provided to initiate the formation of STO crystallites, their lateral growth at the expense of the surrounding amorphous matrix and their coalescence.

The recent work by Lee et al.\textsuperscript{19} on the stability of the Ruddlesden-Popper homologous series allows for understanding the microscopic origin of the crystallization mechanism described here. Indeed, the authors show in this study that a TiO\textsubscript{2}/SrO/SrO stack (composed of one TiO\textsubscript{2} monolayer deposited on top of two SrO monolayers) grown by MBE on a STO substrate is unstable and spontaneously rearranges itself into a energetically more favorable SrO/TiO\textsubscript{2}/SrO stack: the top SrO and TiO\textsubscript{2} planes swap. In this work, it is also shown based on density functional theory (DFT) calculations that the atomic pathway of this exchange (sketched in Fig. 6) is related to the attack by a TiO\textsubscript{2} molecule of the top SrO plane to form a tetrahedral complex involving chemical bonds between the TiO\textsubscript{2} molecule and the oxygen atoms of the SrO plane. This mechanism leads to a strong disruption of the SrO plane and to the formation of a hole, very similar to an oxygen vacancy. The presence of this hole allows the transit of the TiO\textsubscript{2} molecules underneath the top SrO plane and is at the root of the swapping of the TiO\textsubscript{2} and SrO planes. Our observations suggest that similar microscopic mechanism (Fig. 6) is at origin of STO crystallization on Si: a slight excess of Sr is required to promote STO crystallization because the crystallites which are growing at the expense of the surrounding amorphous matrix have to be SrO-terminated.

![Figure 6: The knitting machine mechanism.](image)

We named this atomic pathway the “knitting machine” mechanism. In a first step, a SrO layer crystallizes at the growing crystallite surface, leading to the formation of a TiO\textsubscript{2}/SrO/SrO termination (Fig. 6a). SrO crystallization temperature is very low (SrO crystallization has been observed even at room temperature in MBE growth conditions\textsuperscript{29}) so that crystallization of a SrO plane at the crystallite surface is a realistic hypothesis, even at the moderated temperature used to avoid interface reactions. Then, as the temperature increases and becomes high enough, the TiO\textsubscript{2} aggregates available in the surrounding matrix. This Sr-assisted crystallization mechanism is clearly related to the growth conditions (low temperature) imposed by interface reactions between STO and Si, since layer-by-layer homoepitaxial growth of crystalline STO can be achieved by MBE on STO substrate at higher temperature.
amorphous matrix react with the crystallite surface according to the mechanism described in Ref. 19. They make their way through the top SrO plane, incorporating at this point into the STO lattice and extending the STO crystal by one unit cell. This sequence is repeated until stopped by coalescence of two neighboring crystallites and formation of the antiphase boundaries.

The proposed mechanism, most probably similar to that which takes place during Sr-mediated quartz crystallization from amorphous silica on Si, is compatible with our experimental observations. In particular, it implies crystallization by lateral propagation of an excess of Sr corresponding to a complete SrO coverage of the growing crystallites, and it also implies that the growing crystallites are always SrO-terminated, as the TiO$_2$/SrO/SrO termination is unstable. In the end, it results in an antiphase domain microstructure with exactly one antiphase boundary ((00o) SrO stacking fault) per initial crystallite. The reason why it takes place during the UHV annealing step is obviously related to the prerequisite of beginning the growth at low temperature to avoid parasitic interface reactions at the interface between oxide and silicon substrate. STO crystallization begins by the formation of SrO crystallites at moderate temperature. The knitting machine process then starts as soon as enough energy is provided by the annealing to overcome the energy barrier to transform SrO into STO. In this regard, the formation enthalpies of SrO and of Sr-rich Ruddlesden-Popper phases are much lower than that of STO$^{31,32}$, which confer on them lower crystallization temperature. This STO crystallization pathway allows for obtaining epitaxial coherency between STO and Si, since STO crystal nucleates in direct contact with the Si surface, free of any amorphous or polycrystalline parasitic compound.

**Engineering the initial growth sequence at the half-monolayer scale to promote STO crystallization**

The knitting machine mechanism described here allows STO crystallization on Si at relatively low temperature. Without this mechanism, epitaxial growth of STO on Si would not be possible due to competition with oxide/Si interface reactions. In this regard, it is striking that many groups having optimized epitaxial growth of STO on Si have converge towards procedures involving an excess of Sr at the very early stages of the growth.$^{7,11}$: such Sr-excess is required to promote STO crystallization at intermediate temperature. The drawback is of course that it results in an antiphase domain microstructure, with penalizing effect on the structural and functional properties of the oxide layer. However, the insights on STO/Si growth mechanism provided by the present study open perspectives to develop strategies aimed at avoiding the formation of antiphase boundaries. Such a strategy, sketched in Fig. 7a, has been tried with encouraging preliminary results.

**Figure 7: Effect on the growth start sequence on STO crystallization.** a growth is initiated by an unstable TiO$_2$/SrO/SrO/TiO$_2$ sequence, spontaneously swapping to a stable SrO/TiO$_2$/SrO/TiO$_2$ sequence during annealing. RHEED shows that this deposit is initially amorphous. It also indicates clear STO crystallization after annealing, suggesting effectiveness of the TiO$_2$/SrO swapping mechanism and showing that it allows STO crystal formation at 450°C. Further growth of a 10 nm thick STO layer leads to good crystal quality. b No crystallization is observed during annealing after initial deposition of a TiO$_2$/SrO/TiO$_2$/SrO sequence.

This growth sequence was designed to promote a vertical crystallization front instead of a lateral one. STO growth was initiated at low temperature (300°C) on a Sr-treated Si surface by alternated deposition of SrO and TiO$_2$ planes, with the sequence TiO$_2$/SrO/SrO/TiO$_2$. This stack is equivalent to 2 ML of STO (globally no Sr-excess). The initially amorphous deposit was then annealed at 450°C under UHV. This sequence differs from the commonly used Motorola procedure detailed in Ref. 28: in the Motorola procedure, Sr and Ti are co-deposited on the Sr-treated surface while here the growth is initiated by an alternated deposition of SrO and TiO$_2$ monolayers. In particular, the deposition of a TiO$_2$ monolayer on top of a double SrO layer is aimed at promoting STO crystallization by swapping of the top SrO/TiO$_2$ layers. As a matter of fact, a streaky RHEED pattern (displayed in Fig. 7a) was observed after annealing at 450°C attesting for actual formation of a well ordered STO crystal. Further
STO growth was then continued at 450°C by Sr and Ti co-deposition under O₂, leading to the well-contrasted RHEED pattern displayed in Fig. 7a attesting for good STO structural quality. For comparison, the same procedure was applied by starting the growth with an alternated TiO₂/SrO/TiO₂/SrO stack (Fig. 7b). In this case, no crystallization was observed during the UHV annealing step, and further STO growth at higher temperature resulted in the formation of a STO polycrystal. This experiment shows that STO crystallization can be enhanced by engineering the starting growth sequence at the half-monolayer scale to promote implementation of the knitting machine mechanism. It is worth noting that such engineering is effective even if the starting growth stack is amorphous, showing that local order is the key element for the onset of STO crystallization. Interestingly, this experiment also shows that globally stoichiometric STO, with no Sr-excess, can crystallize on Si at moderate temperature, and that the Sr excess required to crystallize thicker films formed by Sr and Ti co-deposition is only needed to provide SrO termination to each growing crystallite. This excess is damaging because it implements a lateral knitting machine mechanism.

Oppositely, using the growth sequence proposed here should allow avoiding SrO stacking fault formation and the related antiphase domain microstructure detrimental for the structural quality of the thin layer. As a matter of fact, it does not involve any excess Sr so that there is no material available for antiphase boundary formation. Moreover, it is expected to promote the onset of a “vertical” knitting machine mechanism since the deposited stack is a truly two-dimensional crystal seed, expected to promote vertical propagation of the growth front instead of the nucleation of crystallites, at the root of lateral growth and therefore of antiphase boundary formation. Further studies and optimizations are however required to demonstrate the efficiency of such growth strategy in avoiding the formation of antiphase domains. Lastly, it is worth noting that the knitting machine mechanism described here is not specific of the STO/Si system, and can obviously be employed to obtain crystallization of other perovskite oxides at moderate temperature. Therefore, it offers very promising prospects for the integration of crystalline perovskite oxides on semiconductors or other substrates by circumventing the issue of parasitic interface reactions.
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